

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/788,061 02/16/2001		Theodore Trost	41524/NJP/B600 5102		
7590 11/25/2005			EXAMINER		
ALAN MIKHAK THE PEREUS GROUP, INC.			YUN, EUGENE		
	GOURA ROAD #102-717	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CALABASAS, CA 91302			2682		

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		09/788,	061	TROST ET AL.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Eugene		2682			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
THE M/ - Extension after SI) - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm nod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 mod for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be ti tatutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron pplication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)∐ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on			-		
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	n of Claims						
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the and of the above claim(s) is/are laim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from c					
Application	n Papers		•		•		
9) <u></u> Th	e specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	oplicant may not request that any object						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to				• •		
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	knowledgment is made of a claim.  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority docun nal Bureau (PCT Ro	en received. en received in Applicat nents have been receiv ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National 3	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		•		
3) 🛛 Informat	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date <u>12</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/788,061

Art Unit: 2682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This paper is in response to the granted petition on 4/11/2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vanden Heuvel et al. (US 5,426,424).

Referring to Claim 1, Vanden Heuvel teaches a wireless communications device, comprising:

A wireless transceiver (fig. 1);

A processor 106 (fig. 1) coupled to the wireless transceiver, the processor having a memory comprising a plurality of fragments 504 (fig. 5) and an array 501 (fig. 5) configured to control the sequence of memory fragments from which data is read.

Referring to Claim 2, Vanden Heuvel also teaches a second array 503 (fig. 5) configured to indicate a status of each of the memory fragments.

Referring to Claim 3, Vanden Heuvel also teaches the status indicated by the second array for each of the memory fragments comprising a bit to indicate whether its respective memory fragment is empty (see col. 4, lines 40-46).

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 4, Vanden Heuvel also teaches a read pointer 804 (fig. 8) configured to indicate the memory fragment from which the data is being read.

Claims 8-11 have similar limitations to Claims 1-4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-7 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vanden Heuvel in view of Cripps (US 5,838,730).

Referring to Claims 5 and 12, Vanden Heuvel does not teach memory fragments comprising 64 bytes. Cripps teaches memory fragments comprising 64 bytes (see col. 6, lines 3-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Cripps to said device of Vanden Heuvel in order to preserve enough memory space for larger data.

Referring to Claims 6 and 13, Cripps also teaches the memory fragments comprising 128 memory fragments (see col. 6, lines 3-8).

Referring to Claims 7 and 14, Cripps also teaches the array comprising a 128 element array (see col. 6, lines 3-8).

Application/Control Number: 09/788,061

Art Unit: 2682

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Quochien Vuong can be reached on **(571)272-7902**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H ne Y

Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

MARCEAU MILORD PRIMARY EXAMINER